Prescribe
By 29-10-47

App. F. IX-4

1934. 35 (Ft.)

File No. 402. 34

Serial Nos. 1 – 2

*Previous References*

Ft. 2-19 (1-4)
– 248-06 (1-3:44)
– Misc 10.

*Later References.*

R.A. Sec: No 91-34
Ft 115-35 (144)
G.M 14-3681-2

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

*Serial Nos.* 2

**Part B for** Jan. 35

**SUBJECT.**

Use of the title of Nawab by Mr Sher Khan of Stonnalli

2) To Nawab Sher Khan of Stonnalli
Ft 6045 of 24/1/35.

Informs that he is permitted to use the title, but it should not be regarded as hereditary

R.f
1 22/2

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

DEWAN'S OFFICE
17 JUNE 1934
No. DM 1333

(1)

DEWAN'S MINUTE.

FILE No. 20 193 4509

1 Mr Sher Khan represents that he is entitled to be called Nawab, & has produced the documents to substantiate his claim. I shall be obliged if the matter is looked into & a note put up to say how far Mr's claim can be accepted.

Mr
17-6-34

2 C. Secy)

RG
17/6/34

3 Judicial Secy
Comptr

(2)

Serial No.

4 Dewan's minute dated 17-6-1934.

" Mr.Sherkhan represents that he is entitled to be called Nawab and has produced these documents to substantiate his claim. I shall be obliged if the matter is looked into and a note put up to say how far the claim can be accepted. "

Submitted.

6 Nawab was a Mahomedan title of a ruler in India answering to a Hindu Raja. The title is now conferred on Mahomedan gentleman for distinguished service by the Imperial GOvernment.

7 It is not clear from the records available in the office on what grounds Mr.Sherkhan bases his claim to be titled as a 'Nawab' nor has he produced any sanad or documentary evidence to justify his claim. The mere mention of his name with a prefix 'Nawab' in the Government Order produced by Mr.Sherkhan is no authority upon which his claim to such a distinguished title could be recognised. The title appears to have been simply continued by usage and there was no need or occasion to challenge his right to this honorific title.

8 The ancestors of Mr.Sherkhan enjoyed Jahgirs in Dharwar District. These were, however, subsequently resumed by Bombay Government; but after a hard struggle of one Nabhikhan, Hungurguttay one of the villages in Honnally was restored to him. Abdul Nabhikhan approached

Noted
The delay in the submission of this case is due to the fact that the old references were not easily traceable to

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GBB—22,500—12-6-34

approached the then President to better his circumstances and Sir Thomas Munro got him a pension of Rs. 150/- from the Two Lakh Fund. He is also enjoying the Mysore State Pension of Rs 202/- a month. He selected Honnally as a better place for his future residence. Now Mr. Sherkhan's association with Honnally consists in its being his residential quarter as he enjoys only a Kayamgutta village of Hanumasagara in the Honnally Taluk.

At present the original family pension is divided among several members and the pension is liable to reduction or to lapse with the death of each pensioner in the absence of legal heirs. Thus, in brief is the history or standing of Mr.Sherkhan in his family. On the contrary, there is definite reference to show that Government cannot recognise the claim of any person of the family to the title in question. Mr. Rusthum Ali Khan a member of the family wanted to style himself as Nawab which was not recognised by Government. Vide Flag 'H'. Kind reference is also invited to Sir M.N. Krishna Rao's note dated 14-11-19 (Flag 'G') in the matter.

20/7/34

H Secy) 20/7/34

Serial No. 11

Re-instructed as directed.

The term Nawab has been invariably used and applied to some member or other of the family in the official correspondence in the file. At one time (Flag J) the term Bahadur has been prefixed for Ex Nabab Khurshoom Khan Jamma and Khurshid mooluk Bahadoor (commonly called Hamali Nawab) At another time a member of the family has been styled as "Hussain Ali Khan the Great" (Flag P - para 10. of the letter). It is however not clear how the term came to be addressed in the official correspondence. Very likely it was but an accident, which has been uniformly maintained. In this way a sort of practice formed on usage has crept in and it is on this practice on which the petitioner relies. The family has been using the title and the official correspondence has been repeating it. So long as there are no data to prove that the title was based on a Sanad or any written authority it is doubtful whether mere repetition of the title in the official correspondence could be held as a recognition of the title on the part of Govt. The term has been freely used during the Commission (pre-reorganisation) days.—

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

5

File No. of 193 . General and Revenue Secretariat

In these circumstances the petitioner may be asked to produce a Sanad or a like document in support of his claim or he may be informed that a formal recognition of the title is neither possible nor necessary and bills for crores. in the available reference

A list has been prepared Showing the important communications in the files relating to this family. They are flagged, and the Statement Shows who wrote them, to whom, on what date and whether the title of 'Nawab' occurs therein. It is Seen therefrom that though the term is used on very many occasions, it is entirely omitted on quite a few.

If the title were hereditary, it Should find a place in any Standard directory. One Such was consulted and this title was not in it at all, in respect of this family.

Such a title Should always be accompanied by a Sanad. There is no mention of one in this case.

It appears to be a courtesy title and I do not think that the Mysore Govt. are the proper and at any rate, in this case, the only authority to be considered competent to Sanction it. So far

(6



Serial No.

as can be made out, such a title has not been ~~bestowed~~ bestowed by Mysore on anyone. It is usually bestowed by the Govt of India.

17) The petitioner may therefore be asked to make his request to that Govt through the proper channel, with any documents he may be able to produce in his support. Or,

18) Considering what has been stated above he may be politely informed that Government regret that they cannot do anything in the matter, taking care, that in future, the use of the title is avoided by Govt or its officers.

17) H Secy) ₹ 10/9/34.

20) So far as the papers in the Secretariat go, there is no specific order or Sanad either of the Govt of India or of the Mysore Govt ~~to~~ conferring the title of Nawab on his ancestors -

21) This title seems to have been continued in the family by usage or sufferance -

22) In the various official letters, the term Nawab is used and sometimes omitted (Vide Statement portion of the Govt order of 1907, cannot be regarded as conclusively establishing that he is entitled to it -

23) I am afraid therefore that we

NOTE —Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

5)

4509

C 90

R

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

Cannot issue an order requiring his title or signing it. He used in all official Communications. For this he must get or produce a Sanad from the Govt of India ~~[illegible]~~

Dewan
Chief Clerk)

10.9.34.

The Dewan said (Tho
he might be consulted in coming
to a decision on next adjournment

RDy/5/9/1

17.9.34.

GENERAL SECRETARY.
11 SEP. 1934

| From whom. | To whom. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|
| 1 Flag A. Letter dt 16.1.1799. | Original Banner granting pension to the family of Hussain Ali Khan. From 16 Sept. Nagar Division. | |
| Le A1. - - 1837. | Offg Secy. | The term Nawab is not used |
| 2 " Flag B. 17.2.1837. | Offg Secy. | Sept. Nagar Division. Nawab Mahomed Khudabuxoin Khan Jammaud Kuthbul Mooluk Bahadur |
| 1 " Flag B1. 24.4.1837 | — do — Sept Nagar Division. | Sept Astapram Division Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabbi Khan Jammaud - Son Mahomed Khudabuxoin Khan Jammaud - |
| 1 " Flag C1 3.10.1837. | Sept Nagar Division. | Offg Secy. Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabbi Khan Jammaud - son Nawab Mahomed Khudabuxoin Khan Jammaud - |
| 2 " Flag D - 11.10.1837 | Offg Secy. | Sept Nagar Division. Nawab Khudabuxoin Khan Jammaud |
| " Flag D1. 30.5.1851. | Sept Nagar Division - | Secy - Hussainally Khan. - Son of the late Nawab Abdul Nabbi Khan. |
| " Flag E. 6.6.1851 | Offg Secy. | To the Sept Nagar — do — |
| " Flag E1 2.1.1852. | Secy. | — do — |
| 0 Flag F 16.4.1852. | Sept Nagar Division | - Secy — do — |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 14 | Reg Fl. | 14-8-1851. | -do- | Junior Asst Pension Office. | Head Munshi Mahomed Khudabuddin Khan Jamundar. |
| 12 | " H. | 25-8-1857. | Junior Asst Pension Office | Secy to the Govt | Hussain ali Khan - |
| 13 | " Hl. | - | Pension certificate to the family of late Hussain ally Khan - | | Hussain ali Khan. |
| 14 | " J | 17-1-1859. | ? to Nagar Division | -do- | Nawab of Savanoor, - Nawab Mahomed Khudabuddin Khan Jamundar Kuttbul mooluk Bahadoor |
| 15 | " Jl. | 18-7-1859. | Supt — | -do- | Nawab Khudabuddin Khan Jamundar Kuttbul mooluk Bahadoor |
| 16 | " J. | 11-10-1859 | Commissioner. | Secy to the Govt of India | - Nawab Khudabuddin Khan Jamundar Khudabul mooluk Bahadur (Commander Called the Nawab) |
| 17) | " | | | | |
| | " Jl. | 28-10-1859. | Offg. Secretary to the Govt of India. | Commissioner - | Nawab Khudabuddin Khan Jamundar Khudabul mooluk Bahadur - Son Abdul Nabi Khan Jamundar |
| 18 | " K. | 17-11-1859. | Commissioner - | Supt, Nagpur. | Nawab Khudabuddin Khan Jamundar Khudabul mooluk - Son Abdul Nabi Khan Jamundar |
| 19 | " Kl. | 7-11-1859. | Commissioner | Officer in charge of pensions - | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan Jamundar |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Has L1 | 7.5.1868. | Depy Supdt - | Do Khan. | Aleem K, - |
| R L. | 7.4.1868. | Accountant - | Supdt Nagar division. | Abdul Nabi Khan Nawab of Hanualy. — of the late Hussain ali Khan fomerly Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan of Hanualy - |
| " M. | 17.5.1868 | Supdt Nagar Division - | Sent to the Court. | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan of Hanualy - |
| " M1. | 5.7.1868. | Sent. | Om - | Nawab Mahmud Nabi Khan Jammaud - |
| " N. | 7.7.1868 | Court. | Nawab Mahmud Abdul Nabi Khan - Jammaud of Honualy | Sulaiman Khan |
| " N1. | 18.7.68. | Sent. | O.M. | Nawab Mahmud Abdul Nabi Khan Jammaud |
| " 6. | 31.7.1868. | ~~Commissioner Asstt Supdt, Dlow.~~ | ~~Collr~~ Asstt Supdt, Dlow | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan Jammaud — Sulaiman Khan |
| " 61. | 31.7.1868 | Sent. | Endorsement - | Hussain ali Khan |
| " P. | 31.7.1868. | - do . | Om. | Sulaiman Khan son of the late Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan Jammaud of Honualy |
| " P1 | 16.8.1868. | Asstt Supdt. Dlow. ~~Forwarded to the Court.~~ | Sent to the Court. | Nawab Mahmud Abdul Nabi Khan Jammaud of Honualy — |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| " | Q1 | 12. 8. 1868. | Secy to the Govt | Abstract N'lore. | Hussain Ali Khan the Great |
| " | Q. | 22. 8. 1868. | Secy — | Endorsement | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan Jamindar — Hussain Ali Khan Honnali Nawab |
| " | S. | 16. 6. 1869. | Chief Commissioner | Secy to Govt. Foreign Dept | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabi Khan of Honnali |
| " | S1. | 22. 7. 1869 | Secretary to the Govt of India. | Chief Commissioner | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabi Khan of Honnally |
| " | T. | 2. 8. 1869 | — Do — | Chief Secretary. | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabi Khan of Honnalli |
| " | T1 | 1869. | Under Secy to Govt | Secy to the Chief Comr. | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabi Khan of Honnally. |
| " | U. | 14. 9. 1869. | Secy — | Chief Secy. | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabi Khan of Honnalli |
| " | U1 | 16. 9. 1869. | Civil pension Paymaster | Secy to the Chief Comr. | Secy "Nawab" not prefixed to names occurring in this letter |
| " | V. | 2. 2. 70. | Secy — | Ore. | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabi Khan Jamindar of Honnally. |

| | From Whom. | To Whom. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Flag VI. 4.6.1870. | Under Secretary. | Chief Commr. | Abdul Nabbi Khan Jaumadar and Khoobul Mulk Bahadur Hussain |
| " W. 28.6.1870. | Under Sy. | Governor in Council. | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabbi Khan Jaumadar Khoobul Mulk Bahadur. |
| " W1. 17.9.1870. | Secy. | Ditto. | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabbi Khan Jaumadar of Honnally. Hussain alias Khan. |
| " X. 13.10.1870. | -do- | Ditto. | Nawab Mahomed Abdul Nabbi Khan Jaumadar of Honnally. |
| Flag X1 10.1.1896. | Dy Comr. Shimoga. | General Dy. | Nawab Abdul Nabbi Khan Jaumadar alias Honnalli Nawab |
| " Y. 28.7.1896. | Dy Comr. Shimoga. | Secy to Govt. Genl Depart | Honnalli Nawab Mahomed Sileman Khan. |
| " Y1 14.9.1897. | Secy. | Ditto First Asst to the Resident. | Abdul Nabbi Khan, Sileman Khan |
| " X2. 24.1.1906. | P.S. to H.H. | Dewan of Mysore | Nawab Mahamud Abdul Nabbi Khan Sahib Jamman, Khubbul Mulk Bahadur Nawab Sher Khan |
| " Y2. 20-12-1906. | Secy | Dy. Comr. Shimoga. | |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| " | Z2 | 22-12-1906 | First Asst to the Resident | Dewan of Mysore. | Nawab Shamkhan of Honnali son of Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan |
| " | X3. | 9.1.1907. | Secy to Govt. | D.C. Shimoga | Nawab Sherkhan of Honnali son of Abdul Nabi Khan Jammadar Tali Nawab of Honnali |
| " | Y3. | 25.1.1907 | Rev Com | Secy to Govt | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan Jammadar of Honnali |
| " | Z3. | 7.2.1907 | Secy to Govt | D.C. Shimoga | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan of Honnali |
| " | X4. | 21.2.1907. | D.C. Shimoga | Secy to Govt | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan of Honnali |
| " | Y4. | 16.3.1907. | Secy | D.C. Shimoga. | Sherkhan son of late Abdul Nabi Khan |
| " | Z4 | 6.5.1907 | Secy. | First Asst to the Resident | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan |
| " | X5 | 14.5.1907 | First Asst to the Resident | Dewan Mysore. | Nawab Abdul Nabi Khan of Silemani family. |
| " | Y5 | 23.9.1907 | Govt Secy. | Comptroller D.C. Shimoga Nawab Sherkhan | Nawab Sherkhan |

(10


Kuthbroon Khan (Dies 1799)
I Son
Hussain Ali (Dies)
II Son
Hassain Ali (Dies)
III Son
Abdul Nabi
(Dies
I Son
Kuthbroon (Dies)
II Son
Hassan Ali (Dies)
3rd Son
Dilawar Khan
(Dies
Son
Abdul Nabi Khan (Pension Rs 352)
(Son)
Sher Khan

(8)

99(11)

28) Subject:-

Use of the title of "Nawab" by Mr. Sherkhan of Honnali.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

29)
To be brought up again
RR
21/9/24

90

*Extract from the Proceedings of the* 929th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 9th November 1934. *19*

30)

**36. Subject. - Clause xxv of the schedule.**

**Use of the title of "Nawab" by Mr. Sher Khan of Honnali.**

**OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.**

**The use of the title of "Nawab" by Mr. Sher Khan may be permitted but this should not be regarded as hereditary.**

(Signed) MIRZA M. ISMAIL,

Dewan of Mysore.



31) Fin. Secy, with Comps

"EXTRACT"

R. Rangaiah

12/11/34

*Chief Secretary to Government.*

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-3-21

(For Disposal at a Meeting) 6

No. C. 90 (13)

of 1934/5

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

## Council Matter.

---

*Subject*

(clause xii of the schedule)

32) Use of the title of "Nawab" by Mr. Sher Khan of Honnali.

33) *Circulated to the Members of Council.*

By Order,

R. Rangarao

18th September 1934.

*Chief Secretary to Government.*

---

34

2191/18/9 **First Member of Council.**

35

2137/2·19. **Second Member of Council.**

318

(14)

General and Revenue
Secretariat

SUBJECT:-

36 **Use of the title of "Nawab" by Mr. Sherkhan of Honnali.**

---

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Head-quarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders.* (*Vide Rules* 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

R. Rangarao
*Chief Secretary to Government.*

Through the Secretary to Government,

Financial Department.

5022/29/12

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

R.4482/27/12

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

377 Final Secy, with Comps

31/1/35

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

(15

**Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.**

## *Subject.*

Use of the title of "Nawab" by Mr. Sherkhan of Honnali.

C. Secy
Dr
20.12.34

313

Rr
20/12/34.

## *Order.*

KRW
17/12/34

(16)

*Submitted to His Highness.*

39 Mr. Sherkhan of Honnali represented in June 1934 that he is entitled to be called Nawab and has produced certain Government Orders to substantiate his claim.

40 The expression "Nawab" has been applied off and on to some member or other of that family in official correspondence but there is no specific order or Sannad either of the Government of India or of the Mysore Government conferring this title on his ancestors. It, however, appears to have been continued by usage or sufferance.

41) Mr. Sherkhan has now approached Government for a formal recognition of this title.

42 The question was considered in Council on the 9th of November 1934 when the following resolution was recorded:-

> "The use of the title of "Nawab" by Mr. Sherkhan may be permitted, but this should not be regarded as hereditary."

43) I beg that His Highness's gracious sanction may be accorded to the resolution.

Mirza M. Ismail

Bangalore,
15th December 1934.)

DEWAN.

D.O.C.87
End one file

PRIVATE SECRETARY MYSORE

318

The Palace,
Mysore, 25th December 1934

My dear Sir Mirza Ismail,

I return herewith with thanks the Honnali Nawab's file received with your d.o.letter No.2197 of the 21st instant.

Yours sincerely,

29/12

31/1/35.

Amin-ul-Mulk
Sir Mirza M.Ismail, Kt., C.I.E., O.B.E.,
Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

4509/7

(8)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

44) Subs with a D.S.N.

Lwn KN 14/11

45) For approval.

H Secy) 14/11/34.

967

46) Dewan) 15/11/34.

47) M 15-11-34

48) Subs with a Draft G.O. and G.O. endorsement for approval

Dewan's order

M is also for orders if a simple endorsement will do in this case. Subs with an endorsement also

Return in office

Vrs 15th to 19.1.35

49) The draft G.O. is for approval and the draft endorsement for perusal before issue.

50) H Secy) 7-1-35.

51) The G.O. is Enough and the Endorsement is unnecessary as he gets a copy of it

Dewan) 8.1.35.

8/1/35.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
8 JAN 1935
No. 37-1

52) M 9-1-35

53) Issue G.O. accordingly with copy to party.

10/1/35.

54) File Secy's instructions

Issue both G.O. and endorsement. Copy of the G.O. need never sent to him.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

4509
x

File No. of 193 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

As there in all correspondence the word Nawab has to be used it is for orders if copy of the G.O. should be sent to all the Dy. Commrs and Hds of Depts and published in the Gazette also.

MW 11/1/35

3820

HL Deary) 11.1.35.

Dewan) Yes orders if the order may be printed and published in the Gazette and Circulated Sent to Dy Comrs & Heads of Depts

12-1-35.

12/1/35.

12-1-35

15/1/35.

Secy Refer. Financial Secy's oral instructions (Vide Paras 5 infra) in the margin. May both G.O. and endorsement issue now?

MW 16/1 Secy) Done MW 16/1

To the Chief Sec to Govt. I and P.C. Shimoga G.O. # 6063-4 dt 24.1.35

Since it does not therefore be permitted to use the title of 'Nawab' - but that this circular order regarded as sanctioned

Govt No # 6063 of 24.1.35 Nawab Sherkhan

Informing him of the above

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

20

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Two drafts

Section S+A.

File No. 402-34

Serial No. 1

*Diary No. of letter replied to* .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* 193 .

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

Date of issue 24/1/1935.

Signed by Mr Rao

*Read*—

Representation of 17.6.34 from Mir Sherkhan of Honnali contending that he is entitled to be called 'Nawab' and producing certain Govt orders to substantiate his claim

Order thereon.—No. FC 6043-4

On an Close Examination of the qn + Govt observe that the expression 'Nawab' has been applied at one or two times to some members or other of the family in official Correspondence and that there is no specific order or Sanction either of the Govt of India or of the

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

2

they bore, Govt conferring the title on his ancestors. The title, however, appears to have been continued by usage or inference.

In these circumstances, Govt are pleased to direct that Mr Shetkar be permitted to use the title of 'Nawab' but ~~not to argue that~~ that this should not be regarded as hereditary

8.1.35

Financial Secy

To the Commissioner & Govt
& D.C. Shimoga dist

~~Copy to Mr Shetkar~~

*List of Enclosure*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

(2.2)

# PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

Representation, dated 17th June 1934 from Mr. Sher Khan of Honnali contending that he is entitled to be called 'Nawab' and producing certain Government Orders to substantiate his claim.

---

Order No. Fe 6043-4 Ft. 402. 34. 1

Dated, Bangalore the 17th January 1935.

On an examination of the question Government observe that the expression 'Nawab' has been applied off and on to some members or others of the family in official correspondence and that there is no specific order or sanad either of the Government of India or of the Mysore Government conferring this title on his ancestors. The title, however, appears to have been continued by usage or sufference.

In these circumstances, Government are pleased to direct that Mr. Sher Khan be permitted to use the title of 'Nawab' but that this could not be regarded as hereditary.

(Sd) M. Shamanna.
for Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore,

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

Aga/5.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section S+A.

File No. L 02-34

Serial No. 2

Rev Drafts

No. L 6045

To Nawab

~~Mr~~ Shetkhan

of Honnali Nawab family

Honali

.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

*the* 193 .

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Date of issue ..... 24/1/35

Signed by .....

With reference to his representation dated 17th June 34 to the Dewan regarding the use by him of the title of "Nawab", Mr Shetkhan is informed that Govt are pleased to permit him to use the title but that this should not be regarded as hereditary

D. O.

L 6046-7 for Financial Secy 7.1.35

List of enclosures .....

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the D.C. Shimoga for information

D. O.

for Financial Secy 9/1/35

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

WD 01966—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE 24

General and Revenue Secretariat

*Dated, Bangalore,* ~~17th January 1935.~~ 24

*No. Fl.* 6045

FA, 402-34-2

ENDORSEMENT.

To

Nawab Sher Khan of Honnali Nwab Family,

H O N N A L I.

---

With reference to his representation, dated 17th June 1934 to the Dewan regarding the use by him of the title of 'Nawab', Mr. Sher Khan is informed that Government are pleased to permit him to use the title but that it should not be regarded as hereditary.

By Order,

Sd. M. Shamanna

Financial Secretary to Government.

Aga/4.

Memo No. Fl 6046-7 Dated, Bangalore the 17th January 1935. 24

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller Bgalt and The D.C. ~~Bangalore~~ Shimoga for information.

By Order,

M Shamanna

For Financial Secretary to Government.

Aga/3.

Serial No.

Submitted — The Nawab of Kamali called in person & requested that the documents noted in the margin & said to have been handed by him to the Dewan, be returned to him

) Q.O. No. H 1414-6
SHA 248-08-30
-9-23-9-07

) Letter No. H 4026/SHA 2-19 dt 5-2-1920.

Number 1 and Number 4 Kamali

MM 12/2

May be returned.

H Seey) SS 12/2/35

12/2

Returned as per above orders.

SS 12/2/35

Note–Contn–Sheet.